

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,630	11/04/2005	Gerhard Hoefle	930008-2200	9251
Ronald R Sant	7590 12/09/200 neci	EXAMINER		
Frommer Lawrence & Haug			MABRY, JOHN	
745 Fifth Aver New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/535,630	HOEFLE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN MABRY	1625		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea	he same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this epifes: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the lat (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request FR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later it may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee resion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee provided by the propriation of the control of the final Office action; or (2) as han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since hin the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	er form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,
	See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	wable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
] will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of ded below or appended.
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will \underline{not} be entered sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	ercome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
	does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
/John Mabry/	/Rita J. Desai/
Examiner, Art Unit 1625	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625
	. Inner Examiner, Art Office 1020

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Scope of enablement rejection has not been overcome, more specifically the term "heteroaryl". Applicant has amended this term in some claims but not in all instances. Applicant also contains withdrawn claims drawn to non-elected subject matter. Several messages were left with Ronald Santucid (agent of record) to discuss these issues to place case in condition for allowance. Mr. Santucci never returned Examiner's messages.